

Count and Percent of Low Birth-Weight Babies (less than 2500 grams) Live Term Singleton Births

Measures that have been suppressed to protect patient confidentiality have been identified using italic text with a shaded background.

Rates or measures with a relative standard error greater than 30% are unstable and have been identified using italic text with a shaded background to prevent misinterpretation.

County	2000		2001		2002		2003		2004		2005		2006		2007	
	Number	Percent														
ADAIR	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ANDREW	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ATCHISON	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
AUDRAIN	*	*	*	*	12	3.5%	*	*	*	*	13	4.0%	*	*	*	*
BARRY	13	3.2%	*	*	11	2.5%	*	*	15	3.5%	11	2.5%	18	3.9%	*	*
BARTON	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
BATES	*	*	*	*	6	3.2%	*	*	*	*	6	2.9%	*	*	*	*
BENTON	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
BOLLINGER	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
BOONE	42	2.8%	37	2.5%	40	2.6%	34	2.1%	32	1.9%	46	2.7%	43	2.4%	37	2.0%
BUCHANAN	29	2.8%	30	3.1%	20	2.1%	29	3.0%	27	2.6%	28	2.9%	22	2.0%	24	2.0%
BUTLER	17	3.5%	18	3.9%	22	4.9%	17	3.7%	15	3.2%	17	3.5%	19	3.8%	16	3.8%
CALDWELL	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
CALLAWAY	6	1.4%	14	3.4%	*	*	*	*	13	2.9%	12	2.7%	12	2.9%	13	2.9%
CAMDEN	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	16	3.8%	13	3.5%
CAPE GIRARDEAU	*	*	28	3.5%	14	2.0%	17	2.2%	22	2.8%	21	2.6%	20	2.5%	21	2.6%
CARROLL	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
CARTER	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
CASS	20	1.9%	19	1.9%	21	2.0%	17	1.6%	21	1.9%	12	1.1%	22	1.9%	22	1.9%
CEDAR	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
CHARITON	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
CHRISTIAN	*	*	12	1.7%	*	*	19	2.5%	13	1.6%	*	*	15	1.7%	14	1.5%

Count and Percent of Low Birth-Weight Babies (less than 2500 grams) Live Term Singleton Births

Measures that have been suppressed to protect patient confidentiality have been identified using italic text with a shaded background.

Rates or measures with a relative standard error greater than 30% are unstable and have been identified using italic text with a shaded background to prevent misinterpretation.

County	2000		2001		2002		2003		2004		2005		2006		2007	
	Number	Percent														
CLARK	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
CLAY	44	1.9%	53	2.1%	42	1.7%	45	1.8%	51	2.0%	60	2.3%	60	2.2%	45	1.6%
CLINTON	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
COLE	*	*	18	2.2%	14	1.8%	22	2.6%	16	1.8%	14	1.7%	23	2.6%	25	2.9%
COOPER	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
CRAWFORD	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	12	4.6%	*	*	6	2.0%	*	*
DADE	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
DALLAS	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	6	2.9%	*	*	*	*	*	*
DAVISS	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
DEKALB	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
DENT	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
DOUGLAS	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
DUNKLIN	16	3.9%	18	4.7%	17	4.4%	*	*	23	6.2%	19	5.1%	25	6.5%	27	6.2%
FRANKLIN	34	3.0%	15	1.3%	15	1.3%	19	1.6%	25	2.2%	23	2.0%	18	1.5%	22	1.9%
GASCONADE	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
GENTRY	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
GREENE	51	1.9%	46	1.8%	71	2.6%	57	2.1%	65	2.3%	56	1.9%	65	2.2%	53	1.6%
GRUNDY	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
HARRISON	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
HENRY	*	*	*	*	*	2.5%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	2.4%	*	*
HICKORY	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
HOLT	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
HOWARD	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Count and Percent of Low Birth-Weight Babies (less than 2500 grams) Live Term Singleton Births

Measures that have been suppressed to protect patient confidentiality have been identified using italic text with a shaded background.

Rates or measures with a relative standard error greater than 30% are unstable and have been identified using italic text with a shaded background to prevent misinterpretation.

County	2000		2001		2002		2003		2004		2005		2006		2007	
	Number	Percent														
HOWELL	*	*	*	*	*	*	14	3.2%	15	3.2%	*	*	*	*	19	3.8%
IRON	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
JACKSON	204	2.3%	211	2.4%	187	2.1%	210	2.4%	248	2.8%	249	2.8%	233	2.5%	225	2.5%
JASPER	32	2.1%	41	2.8%	29	2.0%	40	2.7%	42	2.8%	32	2.0%	28	1.8%	27	1.6%
JEFFERSON	54	2.2%	40	1.8%	51	2.2%	46	1.9%	49	2.0%	48	1.9%	56	2.1%	70	2.7%
JOHNSON	13	2.0%	*	*	*	*	13	1.9%	12	1.9%	*	*	13	1.9%	14	2.0%
KNOX	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
LACLEDE	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	11	2.8%	15	3.5%	*	*	*	*
LAFAYETTE	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
LAWRENCE	12	2.8%	*	*	13	3.0%	*	*	13	3.2%	14	3.4%	12	2.7%	14	3.0%
LEWIS	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
LINCOLN	*	*	16	3.1%	14	2.6%	13	2.4%	12	2.0%	12	1.9%	16	2.5%	*	*
LINN	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
LIVINGSTON	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
MACON	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
MADISON	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	11	8.3%	*	*
MARIES	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
MARION	14	3.8%	14	3.8%	*	*	15	4.8%	*	*	*	*	13	3.6%	*	*
MCDONALD	*	*	11	3.5%	6	1.9%	14	4.2%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
MERCER	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
MILLER	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
MISSISSIPPI	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	12	6.7%	*	*	*	*	*	*
MONITEAU	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Count and Percent of Low Birth-Weight Babies (less than 2500 grams) Live Term Singleton Births

Measures that have been suppressed to protect patient confidentiality have been identified using italic text with a shaded background.

Rates or measures with a relative standard error greater than 30% are unstable and have been identified using italic text with a shaded background to prevent misinterpretation.

County	2000		2001		2002		2003		2004		2005		2006		2007	
	Number	Percent														
MONROE	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
MONTGOMERY	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
MORGAN	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
NEW MADRID	*	*	*	*	*	*	11	5.9%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
NEWTON	11	1.8%	17	2.6%	26	4.2%	*	*	16	2.5%	*	*	17	2.5%	19	2.6%
NODAWAY	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
OREGON	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
OSAGE	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
OZARK	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
PEMISCOT	*	*	*	*	14	5.2%	*	*	16	6.3%	11	4.3%	14	5.3%	12	5.2%
PERRY	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
PETTIS	16	3.2%	17	3.7%	15	2.9%	19	3.9%	*	*	12	2.2%	12	2.1%	11	1.9%
PHELPS	13	3.4%	*	*	18	4.1%	*	*	15	3.3%	*	*	16	3.3%	*	*
PIKE	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
PLATTE	17	1.9%	24	2.6%	11	1.2%	13	1.5%	*	*	16	1.6%	25	2.7%	22	2.3%
POLK	15	4.4%	*	*	*	*	*	*	14	4.1%	6	1.9%	*	*	*	*
PULASKI	15	2.7%	12	2.3%	17	3.0%	*	*	20	3.5%	19	3.2%	16	2.5%	22	3.5%
PUTNAM	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
RALLS	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
RANDOLPH	*	*	*	*	15	5.2%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
RAY	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
REYNOLDS	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
RIPLEY	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Count and Percent of Low Birth-Weight Babies (less than 2500 grams) Live Term Singleton Births

Measures that have been suppressed to protect patient confidentiality have been identified using italic text with a shaded background.

Rates or measures with a relative standard error greater than 30% are unstable and have been identified using italic text with a shaded background to prevent misinterpretation.

County	2000		2001		2002		2003		2004		2005		2006		2007	
	Number	Percent														
SALINE	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	6	2.3%	*	*
SCHUYLER	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
SCOTLAND	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
SCOTT	*	*	17	3.5%	15	3.2%	23	4.9%	20	4.1%	17	3.3%	19	3.6%	13	2.4%
SHANNON	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
SHELBY	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ST. CHARLES	52	1.4%	56	1.5%	65	1.7%	57	1.5%	79	2.0%	62	1.6%	75	1.9%	87	2.1%
ST. CLAIR	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
ST. FRANCOIS	*	*	19	3.0%	*	*	23	3.5%	22	3.3%	*	*	18	2.4%	28	3.8%
ST. LOUIS	231	2.1%	212	2.0%	231	2.3%	210	2.0%	232	2.3%	229	2.3%	255	2.4%	299	2.9%
ST. LOUIS CITY	161	3.6%	140	3.3%	156	3.8%	133	3.1%	150	3.5%	137	3.4%	155	3.6%	154	3.5%
STE. GENEVIEVE	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	6	3.8%	*	*	*	*	*	*
STODDARD	11	3.4%	*	*	*	*	12	4.1%	*	*	14	4.5%	*	*	*	*
STONE	*	*	*	*	*	*	11	4.1%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
SULLIVAN	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TANEY	*	*	13	2.7%	18	3.7%	*	*	*	*	*	*	15	2.7%	14	2.3%
TEXAS	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
VERNON	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
WARREN	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	16	4.2%	*	*	16	3.6%
WASHINGTON	*	*	*	*	*	*	16	6.0%	14	5.5%	11	3.7%	20	6.2%	*	*
WAYNE	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Count and Percent of Low Birth-Weight Babies (less than 2500 grams) Live Term Singleton Births

Measures that have been suppressed to protect patient confidentiality have been identified using italic text with a shaded background.

Rates or measures with a relative standard error greater than 30% are unstable and have been identified using italic text with a shaded background to prevent misinterpretation.

County	2000		2001		2002		2003		2004		2005		2006		2007	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
WEBSTER	15	3.3%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	19	3.9%	14	2.8%	*	*
WORTH	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
WRIGHT	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	11	4.6%	12	5.0%
Missouri Total	1523	2.3%	1,551	2.4%	1,527	2.4%	1,557	2.4%	1,717	2.6%	1,620	2.4%	1,767	2.5%	1,742	2.5%

Data Notes:

- This dataset was obtained from the National Environmental Public Health Tracking (EPHT) Portal at <http://ephtracking.cdc.gov/showHome.action>
- Data are classified by mother's residence at the time of birth which may or may not be the same as the mother's residence at the time of conception.
- Data presented on this portal may differ from data for the same measure on State Tracking Portals, State Health Department Web sites, and other source Web sites. Refer to the Missouri EPHT Data and Statistical Guide for more information on the creation of this indicator.
- *Small cell counts of 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 have been suppressed to protect patient confidentiality. In some cases secondary suppression was used to suppress cell counts >4 to prevent reverse calculation of totals to identify small cell counts.
- Natality data provided by CDC's National Center for Health Statistics National Vital Statistics System.
- Data obtained from Multiple Cause Mortality files provided by CDC's National Center for Health Statistics.